Evaluation Technical Report
for a
Protection Profile

[Name of Protection Profile, date and version number goes here]

[Version number of ETR goes here along with the date of the report]

Evaluated by:
[Name of the evaluation facility with laboratory logo]

Prepared for:
National Information Assurance Partnership
Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme

Protection Profile was Developed by:
[The developer of the Protection Profile goes here (name, address,…)]

The Protection Profile Evaluation was Sponsored by:
[The sponsor of the Protection Profile goes here (name, address, …)]

Evaluation Personnel:
[List of evaluation personnel]

Common Criteria Version
[Version number and date goes here]

Common Evaluation Methodology Version
[Version number and date goes here]

National Interpretations
[List the National Interpretations that affected the evaluation here. The number of the interpretation followed by the title of the interpretation should be listed. One listing per line.]
[Interpretation # and title goes here]

International Interpretations
[List the International Interpretations that affected the evaluation here. The number of the interpretation followed by the title of the interpretation should be listed. One listing per line.]
[CCIMB-INTERP # and title goes here]

1   PP Overview

This section of the ETR should give an overview of the PP (the IT technology that it is modeling).

For this section it may be appropriate to reference sections of the PP.

2   Evaluation

In this section he evaluator reports the evaluation methods, techniques, tools and standards used. The evaluator references the evaluation criteria and methodology.

The evaluator reports any constraints on the evaluation, constraints on the handling of evaluation results and assumptions made during the evaluation that have an impact on the evaluation results. The evaluator may include information in relation to legal or statutory aspects, organization, confidentiality, etc.

3   Results of the evaluation

The evaluator shall report a verdict and a supporting rationale for each assurance component that constitutes an APE activity, as a result of performing the corresponding CEM action and its constituent work units.

The rationale justifies the verdict using the CC, the CEM, any interpretations and the evaluation evidence examined and shows how the evaluation evidence does or does not meet each aspect of the criteria. It contains a description of the work performed, the method used, and any derivation of results. The rationale may provide detail to the level of a CEM work unit.

3.1   Assurance Components without Methodology

There are potentially three circumstances that exist when an assurance activity will not have methodology written for it. These circumstances are:
- Common Criteria assurance components that do not contain methodology in the CEM,
- Explicitly stated assurance activities, and
- National and International Interpretations that affect a Common Criteria assurance requirement in a way that requires new methodology to satisfy the interpretation.

If any of these circumstances affect the evaluation proper reporting of the developed methodology and results of applying the developed methodology need to be undertaken in the ETR. The methodology developed needs to be included and reported to the level of detail that is specified in the other assurance sections of the ETR.

4   Conclusions

The evaluator shall report the conclusions of the evaluation, in particular the overall verdict as defined in CC Part 1 Chapter 5, and determined by application of the verdict assignment described in Section 1.4, Evaluator verdicts.

5   Recommendations

The evaluator provides recommendations that may be useful for the Validation Body. These recommendations may include shortcomings of the PP discovered during the evaluation or mention of features which are particularly useful.

6   Evaluation evidence

The evaluator shall report for each item of evaluation evidence the following information:
- the issuing body (e.g. the developer, the sponsor);
- the title;

- the unique reference (e.g. issue date and version number).

7   Validated Products List (VPL) Entry

[This section should contain the VPL entry for the PP that will be posted on the web-site.]

8   List of acronyms

The evaluator shall report any acronyms or abbreviations used in the ETR.

9   Glossary of terms

Glossary definitions already defined by the CC or CEM need not be repeated in the
ETR.

10 Observation reports and decisions

The evaluator includes all observation reports and decisions submitted and received during the course of
the evaluation. The ETR needs to make clear in this section which observation decisions is being used to
satisfy which observation report.

11 National and International Interpretations

The evaluator includes all National and International Interpretations that affected the evaluation. The ETR
reports the interpretations as posted by the Scheme and the International CC Community.

12 Protection Profile

[The Protection Profile is considered part of the ETR. It is submitted with the ETR as a separate
document.]